

1 JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
2
3
4
5
6
7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR05-5840FDB
9 Plaintiff,)
10 vs.) ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED
11) MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
MYKEL DENNY LOFTUS,) DATE
12)
Defendant.)
13 _____)

14 Upon the stipulation of the parties to continue the trial date in the above-
15 captioned case, the Court finds that a failure to grant the continuance would deny
16 counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the
17 exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).
18

The Court further finds that the ends of justice will be served by ordering a
continuance in this case, that a continuance is necessary to insure effective trial
preparation and that these factors outweigh the best interests of the public and the
defendant in a speedy trial; therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date be continued to July 17, 2006.

//
//
//
//

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION
TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE

1 The resulting period of delay from the current trial date of May 8, 2006, up to and
2 including the new trial date of July 17, 2006, is hereby excluded for speedy trial
3 purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B).

4 Pre-trial motions are due no later than May 8, 2006.

5 DONE this 17th day of April, 2006.
6
7
8
9
10



11 JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Presented By:

18 /s/ Colin A. Fieman
Colin A. Fieman
19 Attorney for Defendant

/s/ David Jennings
David Jennings
Assistant United States Attorney